Application No:	15/5800M
Location:	Brickyard Farm, 25, ADLINGTON ROAD, WILMSLOW, CHESHIRE, SK9 2BJ
Proposal:	Proposed 2 storey extention to existing farm house, erection of 3 number 2 storey detached properties & associated works.
Applicant:	Mr Chris Williamson, David Wilson Homes North West / Mrs Marg
Expiry Date:	04-Mar-2016

## **REASON FOR REPORT**

The application has been called to Committee by the local Ward Member, Cllr Fox, due to concerns about the emergency access.

## SUMMARY

The site is located on land safeguarded under local plan policy GC7. The wider safeguarded site is currently being developed for 204 dwellings. The Macclesfield Borough Local Plan period ran for 7 years between January 2004 and 2011, and we are now therefore well beyond the plan period. Policy GC7 has also been identified by an Inspector as being out of date, and Council cannot demonstrate a 5 year supply of deliverable housing sites and therefore the presumption in favour of sustainable development at paragraph 14 of the Framework applies where it states that LPAs should grant permission unless any adverse impact of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits from it, when assessed against the Framework as a whole; or specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted.

The development would provide benefits in terms of additional market housing which would make a small contribution to the Councils delivery of a 5 year housing land supply. It would also provide economic benefits through the provision of employment during the construction phase and benefits for local businesses.

Whist clarification is still required on the impact upon protected species and protected trees, the impact upon other matters of public interest such as highway safety, residential amenity, drainage implications, the character of the area is all considered to be broadly neutral subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions as required. The only adverse impact of the proposal arising from the development is the conflict with local plan policy GC7.

The comments received in representation relating to material planning considerations have been considered in the report. However, on the basis of the above, it is considered that the proposal represents sustainable development and paragraph 14 is engaged. Furthermore, applying the tests within paragraph 14 it is considered that the adverse effects of the scheme are significantly and demonstrably outweighed by the benefits. Accordingly the application is recommended for approval subject to conditions.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Delegate back to Planning & Enforcement Manager in consultation with the Chairman to approve subject to conditions

## PROPOSAL

This application seeks full planning permission for a two-storey extension to the existing farm house, and the erection of 3no. two-storey detached properties & associated works.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION

The application site comprises the farmhouse and outbuildings of Brickyard Farm with front garden area and access driveway. The site is identified as safeguarded land in the Macclesfield Borough Local Plan.

#### **RELEVANT HISTORY**

14/0007M - Erection of 204 dwellings including demolition of outbuildings, public open space, highways works, entry statement signs and associated infrastructure – Approved 09.10.2014

## NATIONAL & LOCAL POLICY

## **National Policy**

The National Planning Policy Framework establishes a presumption in favour of sustainable development.

Of particular relevance are paragraphs:

- 14. Presumption in favour of sustainable development.
- 50. Wide choice of quality homes

56-68. Requiring good design

69-78. Promoting healthy communities

## **Development Plan**

The Development Plan for this area is the 2004 Macclesfield Borough Local Plan. The relevant Saved Polices are:

Macclesfield Borough Local Plan Policy

NE11 Nature conservation interests

NE17 Improvements to Nature conservation in the countryside

BE1 Design Guidance

GC7 Safeguarded Land

RT1 Areas of Open Space

RT7 Cycleways, Bridleways and Footpaths

H1 Housing requirement

H2 Environmental Quality in Housing Developments

- H8 Provision of Affordable Housing H13 Protecting Residential Areas; T3 Pedestrians T4 Access for people with restricted mobility T5 Provision for Cyclists T6 Highway improvements and traffic management DC1 Design criteria for new build DC3 Amenities of residential property DC5 Design – natural surveillance DC6 Circulation and Access DC8 Landscaping DC14 Noise mitigation DC17 and DC18 Water Resources DC35 Materials and Finishes DC36 Road layouts and circulation DC37 Landscaping in housing developments DC38 Space, light and Privacy DC40 Childrens Play Provision and Amenity Space
- DC63 Contaminated land

#### Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy – Proposed Changes Version

Relevant policies of this document are: MP1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development PG1 Overall Development Strategy PG2 Settlement hierarchy PG6 Spatial Distribution of Development SD1 Sustainable Development in Cheshire East SD2 Sustainable Development Principles **IN1** Infrastructure IN2 Developer contributions SC1 Leisure and Recreation SC2 Outdoor sports facilities SC3 Health and Well-being SC4 Residential Mix SC5 Affordable Homes SE1 Design SE2 Efficient use of land SE3 Biodiversity and geodiversity SE4 The Landscape SE5 Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland SE6 Green Infrastructure SE9 Energy Efficient Development SE12 Pollution, Land contamination and land instability SE13 Flood risk and water management CO1 Sustainable Travel and Transport CO4 Travel plans and transport assessments

## CONSULTATIONS

# Head of Strategic Infrastructure - Comments awaited

**Environmental Health** - No objections subject to conditions relating to pile foundations and dust control. Further comments awaited following receipt of contaminated land report.

Public Rights of Way – No objections subject to advisory note

Flood Risk Manager – Comments awaited

United Utilities - No objections

**Wilmslow Town Council** – Raise strong reservations regarding access to and from the site and the highway due to this junction's close proximity to a bend in the road where accidents have occurred.

# REPRESENTATIONS

To date, 7 letters of representation have been received objecting to the proposal on the following grounds:

- Concern about use of emergency access by entire development
- Impact on doctors, hospitals and schools
- The development will add to the obvious risks especially for young pedestrians and cyclists on the way to Vardon Bridge.
- The lane should only be used by Brickyard Farm and by Emergency Vehicles.
- The application should certainly not be granted without another independent Road Safety Audit report.
- In the submitted drawings the Emergency Access road is illustrated as a pedestrian and cycle route. This would create unacceptable dangers for young children using this route.

A press advert is required to advertise the development as a departure from the local plan; however, this was missed off the original consultation process. This will therefore extend the publicity period for the application, and is one reason for the recommendation to delegate the application back to officers - to allow the publicity period to expire.

# APPRAISAL

The key issues are:

- Impact upon nature conservation interests
- Impact upon character of the area
- Amenity of neighbouring property
- Impact upon trees of amenity value
- Highway safety

#### Principle of development

The application site is allocated in the Macclesfield Borough Local Plan (2004) as Safeguarded Land. Safeguarded land is land that may be required to serve development needs well beyond the Local Plan period (2011). Policy GC7 of the Local Plan explains that the land is not allocated for development at the present time and policies relating to development in the countryside will apply. The reasoning for policy GC7 explains the land "may only be allocated in the future within the strategic planning context and following the guidance for the assessment of development sites contained in PPG3 Housing (2000)". Policy GC5 deals with development in the open countryside, which "will not be permitted unless it is essential for agriculture, forestry, outdoor recreation or for other uses appropriate to a rural area". The development does not fall into one of those categories.

As a result, it constitutes a "departure" from the development plan and there is a presumption against the proposal, under the provisions of sec.38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 which states that planning applications and appeals must be determined "in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise".

The issue in question is whether there are other material considerations associated with this proposal, which are sufficient to outweigh the policy concerns.

The extension to the existing farmhouse falls to be considered against policy GC12 of the local plan, which allows for extensions to existing dwellings of up to 30% of the original floorspace of the house. One of the exceptions to this policy is when the property lies in a group of houses or ribbon of development and the extension would not be prominent, as is the case here. The proposed extension is therefore considered to comply with the requirements of policy GC12.

In terms of the new dwellings, the site is now located between the housing development approved under 14/0007M, which was approved on safeguarded land, and is now a committed site in the Proposed Changes Version of the emerging local plan, and the predominantly residential area of Overhill Lane. This small section of safeguarded land therefore serves little strategic purpose. Furthermore, as noted at the time of 14/0007M the Macclesfield Borough Local Plan period ran for 7 years between January 2004 and 2011, and we are now therefore well beyond the plan period. Policy GC7 has also been identified by an Inspector as being out of date, and as such paragraph 14 of the Framework is triggered where it states:

"where the development plan is absent, silent or relevant policies are out-of-date, granting permission unless:

- any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole; or
- specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted."

## ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Design / Character

Paragraph 56 of the NPPF notes that "the Government attach great importance to the design of the built environment. Good Design is a key aspect of sustainable development, indivisible from good planning".

Policy BE1 of the local plan requires new development to achieve the following design principles:

- Reflect local character
- Respect form, layout, siting, scale and design of surrounding buildings and their setting
- Contribute to a rich environment and add to the vitality of the area
- Be human in scale and not normally exceed 3 storeys
- Use appropriate facilities

The local area is characterised by a variety of buildings, which are predominantly two or three storeys. The modest extension to the farmhouse is in keeping with the existing building, and it is a positive aspect of the proposal that the attractive farmhouse is being retained. The design of the new houses will be similar to the house types approved in more significant numbers on the adjacent site and will therefore be in keeping with the local area.

Overall, the proposal is not considered to have any significant impact upon the character of the area, and therefore complies with the requirements of policy BE1 of the local plan.

#### Trees

The Council's Forestry Officer has requested clarification in the form of an Arboricultural Impact Assessment to determine the impact of the extension to Brickyard Farm on the offside group of protected Norway Maple and Ash (Group G46 of the Macclesfield Borough Council (Wilmslow Park No.2 Wilmslow) Tree Preservation Order 1974).

Further details will be provided as an update.

## Ecology

The nature conservation officer has provided the following comments on the application:

## <u>Bats</u>

A minor bat roost was previously recorded within the buildings at this site. As we are now at the optimum time for bat surveys, an updated bat survey has been requested, but the findings will not be available prior to the committee meeting. It is therefore proposed that the application is delegated back to officers in consultation with the Chairman to determine once the surveys have been completed. The survey report should include mitigation and compensation proposals to address any adverse impacts identified. Assessment against the habitats regulations will take place prior to the determination of the application.

#### **Hedgerows**

Native species hedgerows are a priority habitat and hence a material consideration. Native species hedgerows are present upon the southern boundary of the application site. It is recommended that these hedgerows be retained as part of the proposed development, and as such the landscaping details will need to be amended to show this.

## Nesting Birds

If planning consent is granted a condition requiring a nesting bird survey is recommended.

## **Residential Amenity**

Local Plan policies H13, DC3 and DC38 seek to protect the amenity of residential occupiers. Policy DC3 states that development should not significantly injure the amenities of adjoining or nearby residential property and sensitive uses due to matters such as loss of privacy, overbearing effect, loss of sunlight and daylight and traffic generation and car parking. Policy DC38 sets out guidelines for space between buildings.

Brickyard Farm is located close to the rear boundary of 11 Overhill Lane, but the extension does not bring the building any closer. This relationship is therefore acceptable. Plots B1 and B2 both meet the separation distance guidelines to the adjoining neighbours on Overhill Lane. The garage of plot B1 comes within 8.5 metres of the rear elevation of Blackcomb (shown as Lindfield on the plans), however due to the scale and form of the proposed garage and the substantial boundary treatment along the southern boundary of the site, there is not considered to be any significant loss of space, light or privacy arising from the proposal.

No further amenity issues are raised.

## Accessibility

Wilmslow train station and leisure centre are approximately 1.6km from the site, with the town centre a further 400m beyond these facilities. The town centre can be accessed on foot or cycle. The facilities at Dean Row are also an option for residents. No accessibility issues were raised at the time of the approval of the wider site. The site is therefore considered to be in a moderately accessible and sustainable location.

## Highways

Three of the four dwellings that are the subject of this application will be accessed from the new Bollin Park development site. Only one property, plot B1 will be accessed along the emergency access route directly from Adlington Road. This is the same as the existing situation where Brickyard Farmhouse utilises this for their access. It is proposed that Brickyard Farmhouse utilises the access through the new Bollin Park development. The emergency access will not be used by other vehicles other than in an emergency. The access will be controlled by demountable bollards and a condition can be imposed to ensure that the emergency access is not used other than by plot B1 and emergency vehicles.

The Strategic Infrastructure Manager has commented on the application and requested clarification on what measures are proposed to prevent Brickyard Farm and plots B2 and B3 (and the proposed housing estate) from using the emergency access; and refuse vehicle servicing for plot B1. These matters will be reported in an update.

# Flood Risk

Comments from the Flood Risk Manager are awaited and will be reported as an update.

# SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

## Housing land supply

Following the receipt of the Further Interim Views in December 2015, the Council has now prepared proposed changes to the Local Plan Strategy (LPS), alongside new and amended

strategic site allocations, with all the necessary supporting evidence. The proposed changes have been approved at a Full Council meeting held on the 26 February 2016 for a period of 6 weeks public consultation which commenced on Friday 4 March 2016.

The information presented to Full Council as part of the LPS proposed changes included the Council's 'Housing Supply and Delivery Topic Paper' of February 2016.

This topic paper sets out various methodologies and the preferred approach with regard to the calculation of the Council's five year housing land supply. From this document the Council's latest position indicates that during the plan period at least 36,000 homes are required. In order to account for the historic under-delivery of housing, the Council have applied a 20% buffer as recommended by the Local Plan Inspector. The topic paper explored two main methodologies in calculating supply and delivery of housing. These included the Liverpool and Sedgefield approaches.

The paper concludes that going forward the preferred methodology would be the 'Sedgepool' approach. This relies on an 8 year + 20% buffer approach which requires an annualised delivery rate of 2923 dwellings.

The 5 year supply requirement has been calculated at 14617, this total would exceed the total deliverable supply that the Council is currently able to identify. The Council currently has a total shortfall of 5,089 dwellings (as at 30 September 2015). Given the current supply set out in the Housing Topic Paper as being at 11,189 dwellings (based on those commitments as at 30 September 2015) the Council remains unable to demonstrate a 5 year supply of housing land. However, the Council through the Housing Supply and Delivery Topic paper has proposed a mechanism to achieve a five year supply through the Development Plan process.

National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG) indicates at 3-031 that deliverable sites for housing can include those that are allocated for housing in the development plan (unless there is clear evidence that schemes will not be implemented within five years).

Accordingly the Local Plan provides a means of delivering the 5 year supply with a spread of sites that better reflect the pattern of housing need, however at the current time the Council cannot demonstrate a 5 year supply of housing. This is a matter that weighs in favour of the proposal.

## Affordable Housing

The IPS: Affordable Housing states that for settlements with a population of 3,000 or more affordable housing is required on developments which are for 15 dwellings or more, or are over 0.4ha. As such there is no requirement for affordable housing.

## Open Space

The proposal does not trigger any requirement for public open space, and the site will be well served by the existing open space at Browns lane and the additional open space approved as part of 14/0007M.

## ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY

With regard to the economic role of sustainable development, the proposed development will help to maintain a flexible and responsive supply of land for housing as well as bringing direct and indirect economic benefits to Wilmslow town centre including additional trade for local shops and businesses (in closer proximity to the site than the town centre), jobs in construction and economic benefits to the construction industry supply chain.

## PLANNING BALANCE

The site is located on land safeguarded under local plan policy GC7. The wider safeguarded site is currently being developed for 204 dwellings. The Macclesfield Borough Local Plan period ran for 7 years between January 2004 and 2011, and we are now therefore well beyond the plan period. Policy GC7 has also been identified by an Inspector as being out of date. The Council cannot demonstrate a 5 year supply of deliverable housing sites and therefore the presumption in favour of sustainable development at paragraph 14 of the Framework applies where it states that LPAs should grant permission unless any adverse impact of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits from it, when assessed against the Framework as a whole; or specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted.

The development would provide benefits in terms of additional market housing which would make a small contribution to the Councils delivery of a 5 year housing land supply. It would also provide economic benefits through the provision of employment during the construction phase and benefits for local businesses.

Whist clarification is still required on the impact upon protected species and protected trees, the impact upon other matters of public interest such as highway safety, residential amenity, drainage implications, the character of the area is all considered to be broadly neutral subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions as required. The only adverse impact of the proposal arising from the development is the conflict with local plan policy GC7.

The comments received in representation relating to material planning considerations have been considered in the preceding text. However, applying the tests within paragraph 14 it is considered that the adverse effects of the scheme are significantly and demonstrably outweighed by the benefits. Accordingly the application is recommended for approval subject to conditions below. However, as noted above in order to allow time for the press advert to runs its course and for the updated bat surveys to be completed, it is recommended that the application is delegated back to officers in consultation with the Chairman for approval.

## RECOMMENDATION

Delegate back to Planning & Enforcement Manager in consultation with the Chairman to approve subject to conditions

In the event of any changes being needed to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions / informatives / planning obligations or reasons for approval/refusal)

prior to the decision being issued, the Planning and Enforcement Manager has delegated authority to do so in consultation with the Chairman of the Northern Planning Committee, provided that the changes do not exceed the substantive nature of the Committee's decision.

- 1. Commencement of development (3 years)
- 2. Development in accord with approved plans
- 3. Materials as application
- 4. Pile Driving
- 5. Submission of construction method statement
- 6. Scheme to minimise dust emissions to be submitted
- 7. Nesting birds survey to be submitted
- 8. Emergency access to be utilised only by plot B1 and emergency services
- 9. Details of refuse storage facilities to be submitted

